



The impact of reduced work time on sleep and stress

- a group randomized intervention study using diary data

Helena Schiller, MSc¹, Mats Lekander PhD^{1,2}, Kristiina Rajaleid PhD^{1,3}, Carina Hellgren PhD², Torbjörn Åkerstedt PhD^{1,2}, Peter Barck-Holst MSc^{2,4,5}, Göran Kecklund PhD^{1,6}
¹Stress Research Institute, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden, ²Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, ³Centre for Health Equity Studies, Stockholm University/Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, ⁴Stockholm County Council, Stockholm, Sweden, ⁵Centre for Psychiatry Research, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, ⁶Behavioral Science Institute, University of Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Objective

- Insufficient time for recovery after a workday may cause disturbed sleep, fatigue and stress.
- The aim of the present study was to evaluate an intervention referring to a 25% reduction of weekly work hours with respect to sleep, sleepiness and stress for employees within the public sector in Sweden.

Data and Methods

- Participating work places (N=33) were randomized into an intervention group and a control group.
- Participants (N=580; 71.6% women) worked full time at baseline.
- Work time was reduced to 75% for the intervention group (N=354) with preserved salary.
- Data was collected at baseline, 9-month follow-up and 18-month follow-up.
- Subjective sleep quality, sleep duration, sleepiness, stress and worries at bedtime were measured with diary during one week per data collection.

Results

- A multilevel mixed-model showed that compared with the control group, the intervention group improved over 18 months on sleep quality and sleep duration (+24 minutes) and reduced sleepiness, stress and worries at bedtime ($p < .002$) on workdays.
- During days off, the intervention group showed improved sleep quality, reduced sleepiness and stress ($p < .006$), but no effects on sleep duration or worries at bedtime were found.
- Adding gender as an additional factor indicated no differences between women and men in response to the intervention.



Table 1. Results of the group by time interaction from the multilevel mixed model analyses. All three data collection periods are included in the model.

Variables	Estimate	S.E.	z	P> z	95% C. I.	Cohen's f ²
SSQa work (1 – 5 good)	0.086	0.025	3.39	0.001**	0.036	0.135
SSQ days off (1 – 5 good)	0.109	0.035	3.09	0.002**	0.040	0.179
Sleep length work (h)	0.196	0.034	5.76	0.000**	0.130	0.263
Sleep length days off (h)	-0.042	0.059	-0.71	0.476	-0.159	0.074
Sleepiness work (1 – 9 very sleepy)	-0.206	0.046	-4.53	0.000**	-0.295	-0.117
Sleepiness days off (1 – 9 very sleepy)	-0.224	0.058	-3.89	0.000**	-0.337	-0.111
Stress work (1 – 9 very high)	-0.243	0.058	-4.21	0.000**	-0.356	-0.130
Stress days off (1 – 9 very high)	-0.222	0.058	-3.87	0.000**	-0.338	-0.110
Worries/Stress at bedtime work (1 – 5 no worry/stress)	0.089	0.032	2.77	0.006**	0.026	0.151
Worries/Stress at bedtime days off (1 – 5 no worry/stress)	-0.040	0.066	-0.63	0.529	-0.170	0.087

a) SSQ=Subjective Sleep Quality
** Significant at the 0.01-level

Conclusion

- A 25% reduction of weekly working hours with retained salary resulted in beneficial effects on sleep, sleepiness and stress both on work days and on days off.
- This controlled intervention thus indicates that reduced work time over a period of 18 months may improve recovery and reduce stress.

CONTACT